

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.   F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,040	09/03/2003		Wilhelm Andreas Haberkorn		2039	
33668	7590	02/24/2005		EXAM	INER .	
		ERKORN		PHILLIPS, CHARLES E		
	4 PAINTED BUNTING LANE SAVANNAH, GA 31411		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3751		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\prec$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/605,040	HABERKORN, WILHELM ANDREAS
omoo nodon ounnary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charles E. Phillips	3751
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17 and 31-48</u> is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>7-16, 18-30 and 49-67</u> is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration. to.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	** '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/3/03</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/605,040

Art Unit: 3753

The preliminary amendment of 1/6/05 has been entered and the voluntary election of the specie of Fig. 4 is noted.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,754,913 in view of Huck et al. Huck et al teach the use of an insulated (124) sealed water tank 114, employing line source at 36. It would have been obvious to employ this water source in lieu of that of Haberkorn as the use of one source for a bidet would have been obvious interchangeable for another.

Claims 7-16, 18-30 and 49-67 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim\*\*\* not been further treated on the merits.

Claims 17 and 31-48 stand withdrawn by applicant.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number (571) 272-4893.

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner